

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/509,602 09/24/2004 Adrian John Locke ENL-343-A 2297 22825 7590 04/24/2006 EXAMINER WILLIAM M HANLON, JR AVILA, STEPHEN P YOUNG & BASILE, PC ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3001 WEST BIG BEAVER ROAD SUITE 624 3617 TROY, MI 48084-3107

DATE MAILED: 04/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application No.		Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/509,602		LOCKE, ADRIAN JOHN		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
		Stephen Avila	1	3617		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 March 2006.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ 1	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 12,14-18 and 20-27 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8,13,19 and 28-35 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 9-11 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 24 September 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4 \	☐ Interview Summary	(PTO-413)		
2) Notice (3) Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa	ite	D-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/509,602 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 1. Claims 1-8, 13, 19, 28, and 31-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thompson (previously cited by the examiner) in view Schelde (EP 0 799 764 A1; cited by Applicant). Thompson discloses a marine craft (10) capable of planing (note that the central hull member and the auxiliary members provide a combination of lift to be capable of planing), a passenger area (column 8, line 15), and a deformable energy impact structure 100. Not disclosed by Thompson is a permanently deformable impact structure. Schelde disclose the basic claimed structure including a marine craft with a hull and a passenger area and an permanently deformable energy impact structure with tubes 3a, 3b at an angle. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the energy impact structure of Thompson to be permanently deformable for improved safety in a collision. Additionally, the particular materials used would have been an obvious choice of engineering design to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for light weight, high strength and low cost.
- 2. Claims 29 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thompson in view of Schelde, as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Goldman. Thompson, as modified by Schelde above, does not disclose foam.

Application/Control Number: 10/509,602

Art Unit: 3617

Goldman teaches foam (column 4, line 39). It would have been obvious to use foam in the system of Thompson for improved safety as taught by Goldman.

Page 3

- 3. Claims 12, 14-18, and 20-27 are allowed.
- 4. Claims 9-11 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the rejected claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen Avila whose telephone number is 571-272-6678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7 AM to 3 PM (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 571-272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/509,602

Art Unit: 3617

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen Avila Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617
